Express Mail No. EV 366 597 905 US

Serial No.: 10/092,158 Filing Date: March 5, 2002 **PATENT**

REMARKS

This Response is filed in response to a *Final Office Action* mailed March 10, 2004. Claims 79-105 are pending in the application. Claims 79-105 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph as allegedly failing to provide an adequate written description and allegedly failing to adequately teach how to make and use the invention.

Applicant respectfully traverses the Examiner's rejections.

I. Claims 79 and 95

The Office Action states that claims 79 and 95 claim "receiving an input signal from a network, the input signal comprising an embedded force feedback command," and that the specification includes no enabling support. Respectfully, "receiving an input signal from a network, the input signal comprising an embedded force feedback command" is enabled in the application.

One of ordinary skill in the networking art understands that computers utilize signals to transfer information. For example, in the Microsoft Computer Dictionary, a signal is defined as "any electrical quantity, such as voltage, current, or frequency, that can be used to transmit information." Microsoft Computer Dictionary – 5th Edition, Microsoft Press (2002). The specification states, for example, "[i]n one embodiment, instructions are provided in the received web page which define an authored force effect for the plug-in. It essentially embeds function calls which are handled by the web browser." Specification, ¶ 155. Thus, "receiving an input signal from a network, the input signal comprising an embedded force feedback command" is enabled in the application. Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw the rejection of claims 79 and 95.

I. Claims 91 and 102

The Office Action states that the Examiner is unable to find enabling support for a receiving step of claims 91 and 102. Claims 91 and 102 include "receiving a force feedback command." According to the specification, "FIG. 17b illustrates another example of providing options for the user to assign a force effect for a web page object.

Express Mail No. EV 366 597 905 US

Serial No.: 10/092,158 Filing Date: March 5, 2002 IMM062C

PATENT

Assignment window 447 allows the user to assign one or more force effects from a list 448." Specification, ¶ 172. "The force-enabled authoring tool preferably automatically generates this [HTML] code when the user wishes to save the created web page." Specification, ¶ 172. In order to generate the HTML code, the force-enabled authoring tool received the force feedback command. Thus "receiving a force feedback command" is enabled in the application. Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw the rejection of claims 91 and 102.

II. Manipulandum in Claims 82, 84, 96

The Office Action states that the Examiner is unable to find support in the specification for "manipulandum" as claimed in claims 82, 84, and 96. Manipulandum is defined in paragraph 55 of the specification, which states "User manipulatable object (or "manipulandum") 36, in the described embodiment, is a mouse." Accordingly, Applicant requests that the Examiner withdraw the rejection of claims 82, 84, and 96.

III. Claims 86 and 97

The Office Action states that the Examiner is unable to find support in the specification for "overriding the first force feedback command with a second force feedback command" as claimed in claims 86 and 97.

The specification states "a web page can include force feedback information for authored effects (described below), and generic effects can also be applied to web page objects not having any authored effects associated with them, or to override particular authored effects as desired by the user of the client." Specification, ¶ 85 (emphasis added). In the described embodiment, the authored force feedback effect comprises the first force feedback command; the generic effect command comprises the second force feedback command. Thus "overriding the first force feedback command with a second force feedback command" is enabled in the application. Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw the rejection of claims 86 and 97.

Express Mail No. EV 366 597 905 US

Serial No.: 10/092,158 Filing Date: March 5, 2002 IMM062C **PATENT**

IV. Claims 88 and 99

The Office Action states that the Examiner is unable to find support in the specification for "generic force feedback command" as claimed in claims 88 and 99. As shown above in relation to claims 86 and 97, support for "generic force feedback command" can be found in the specification and claims as originally filed. Thus "generic force feedback command" is enabled in the application and the claims as originally filed. Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw the rejection of claims 88 and 99.

V. Claims 89 and 100

The Office Action states that the Examiner is unable to find support in the specification for "...generating...associated with the second force feedback command" as claimed in claims 89 and 100.

In claims 89 and 100, Applicant claims, "generating a force feedback effect associated with the second force feedback command." In the specification, Applicant describes generating a force feedback effect on a manipulandum in response to receiving a force feedback command. For example:

> In addition, computer 48 and/or interface 100 provide force feedback signals to actuators coupled to interface 100, and the actuators generate forces on members of the mechanical portion of the interface 100 to provide forces on mouse 36 in provided or desired degrees of freedom.

Specification, ¶ 58.

In the embodiment described above in relation to claims 86 and 97, the second force feedback command comprises the generic force feedback command. The generic force feedback command is associated with a particular force feedback effect. An actuator is able to generate an effect in response to receiving a force feedback signal. Thus, "generating a force feedback effect associated with the second force feedback

4

Serial No.: 10/092,158 Express Mail No. EV 366 597 905 US

Filing Date: March 5, 2002 IMM062C

PATENT

command" is enabled. Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw the rejection of claims 89 and 100.

VI. Conclusion

Applicant respectfully submits that all pending claims 79-105 are allowable. Applicant respectfully solicits the issuance of a Notice of Allowance for all claims.

Should the Examiner have any comments, questions or suggestions of a nature necessary to expedite the prosecution of the application, he is courteously requested to telephone the undersigned at the number listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

John C. Alemanni (Reg. No. 47,384)

Attorney for Applicant

Dated:

KILPATRICK STOCKTON LLP 1001 West Fourth Street Winston-Salem, NC 27101-2400 336-607-7311 (telephone) 335-734-2621 (facsimile)

51851/281191 WINLIB01:1073816.1